

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/799,761	Applicant(s) LIM, THOMAS FAY-OY	
	Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski.

(2) John Sopko.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2007

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejection of record

Claims discussed:

16-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment, which authorizes change of the amount of the component b in claim 16 from 0 to 5. As indicated in the attached office action, this amendment overcomes the prior art of record, since component b is no longer optional..